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Introduction

Streaming services such as Spotify and Netflix have taken over large portions of the market for music and audio-visual entertainment worldwide, and in April 2018, music streaming had surpassed physical sales in revenue worldwide (Ball & Auchard, 2018), challenging and possibly destroying established industries. The events that led to this innovation has not yet been charted, however. Most writers who have studied streaming services have included some parts of their history, but the different industries have not yet been compared.

This paper is a study of the history of streaming media services under the lens of innovation theory. Innovation theory is a broad area, covering studies of individuals, organisations, and networks, often from systems or economic perspectives, including studies of history, strategy, and policy studies (Fagerberg, 2006). Central authors include Schumpeter and his use of Marx’s concept “creative destruction” (Schumpeter, 2010), von Hippel, who wrote about “user innovation” (von Hippel, 1988), and Christensen (1997) with his very influential concept of “disruption”.

Method

In this ongoing study, we collect and systematize the findings of earlier histories of streaming technology under the lens of systems-oriented innovation theory (Edquist, 2006). To date, we have consulted about 50 papers, chapters and book-length studies. These are contextualised with other histories of computer development (e.g., Friedman, 2017).

Results

Streaming services are similar to most innovations in that “what we think of as a single innovation is often the result of a lengthy process involving many interrelated innovations” (Fagerberg, 2006). In the current literature, we see described many different stories of parts of what is now known as streaming. One storyline is the idea itself: Music and video on demand is a very old idea, imagined since the invention of the telephone (Fagerjord et.al., 2010; Williams, 1975) Another history is the many different technologies have been used in attempts to realise this idea. Compression technology is one important factor, and major milestones were the inventions of MP3 (1991-93) and AAC (1997) encoding of music files, and H.263 and H.264 for video (1996 and 2003). These file formats were popularised in part by companies such as Apple and Adobe, and made use of by companies such as Napster, Apple, YouTube, and Pirate Bay.

A history of streaming technology must also include infrastructure such as broadband cable networks and mobile networks for telephony and data traffic, important devices such as Apple’s iPhone that launched the smartphone revolution (Snickars, 2012), but also the enormous increase in computer power, exploded by the use of networked server clusters running Hadoop and similar software (Friedman, 2017).

For music, the history is focussed on the “pirate wars” between music sharing systems and record companies, often described as reconciled by iTunes music, Spotify, and others (Spilker, 2017).

Histories of Netflix tend to focus on its algorithmic recommendation engine (Arnold, 2016; Madrigal, 1 January 2014; Smith-Rowsey, 2016; Finn, 2017) and changes in consumer behaviour, although binge-watching was described already in the age of VHS video, (Jenner, 2016). Interestingly, YouTube tends to be described as its own genealogy (Burgess & Green, 2009; Prelinger, 2009; Snickars & Vonderau, 2009; Levy, 2011) although a few have connected it to the general television industry (Evens & Donders, 2018; van Dijck, 2013; Lotz, 2017).

**Discussion**

Streaming media is not one innovation, but a collection of many. Although it certainly is a technology shift, the most important event is probably that of aligning rights management. Music, television, and film has been, and continue to be quite different industries with different organisation, business models, distribution, and rights management. These differences can be seen in the different kinds of offering in different services: Music services have comprehensive, and quite similar catalogues, while television and film sites compete with unique material. Although innovation theory is a vast field, outsiders to its literature tend to focus on “disruption”. It is interesting to note that streaming services hardly can be considered disruptions by Christensen’s original definition of small firms catering to small niche markets with initially inferior technology.

Streaming services are also a telling example of the role of technology in media change: Technology alone cannot explain the differences we see between the music and television streaming services, as they are historically rooted in different organisations, business models, regulatory policies and use, including pirate practices.
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