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A core understanding in memory studies is that memory is not formed by an individual in insolation. Instead, it is guided by social frameworks and enacted within a particular social context. This is articulated by van Dijck (2007) as an inseparability of mediated memories from culture. Accordingly, when exploring the way memory is part of the experience of social media platforms, the specific cultural context of individuals engaging in remembering must be considered. Relevant to young women using Instagram and Facebook in London, is the postfeminist, neoliberal environment that places particular expectations and pressures on how young women should feel and act. The purpose of this paper is to specifically explore the role that emotion plays in shaping the performance of digital memory work of young women on Instagram and Facebook.

I follow the approach of Gill (2007) in understanding postfeminism as a sensibility. By this, she refers to the ways that postfeminism is characterised by distinctive, interconnected themes and features, which shape subjectivity. It involves contradictory entanglements of feminist and anti-feminist themes and is intimately tied to neoliberal ideas. It also operates in and through affective and physic registers (Gill, 2017). The ‘right’ types of dispositions should be displayed, cultivating expectations around how young women are expected to feel and act (Dobson, 2015; Gill, 2017; Kanai, 2019). For example, Kanai (2019) demonstrates how the self is produced as relatable in Tumblr blogs. It requires negative affects to be carefully shared and struggles and failures to be retold and downplayed. Consequently, performance is guided by the ‘right feelings’.

Following this, I approach the practices, digital traces, perspectives and experiences of young women in the context of postfeminism may advance an understanding of the way(s) that remembering takes place on, with and through social media platforms. Digital memory work refers to the active, purposeful creation of and re-engagement with digital traces of the past in the present by a memory actor. I echo the perspective of Smit et al. (2018) that digital memory work can be performed by human and nonhuman actors. Agency is distributed between people, algorithms and platforms. This is reflected

in the way that this paper engages with the role of emotion in digital memory work from
the perspectives of people and the platform.

I draw on data gathered from semi-structured interviews with young women aged
between 18 and 21 living in London, and ethnographic observations of their Instagram
and Facebook profiles. The interview involved a scroll-back dimension (Robards &
Lincoln, 2017), in which the participant acted as co-analysts by narrating their digital
traces while ‘scrolling back’ through their profiles. This is complemented with a
socioeconomic platform analysis (van Dijck, 2013) and a technical walkthrough (Light et
al. 2016) carried out to examine how Instagram and Facebook encourage particular
emotions to be expressed and the entanglement of memory and emotion in their
memory product. This seeks to advance work on the emotional architecture of
Facebook (Wahl-Jorgensen, 2018) and the argument presented by Lambert et al.
(2018) that the algorithmic curation of Facebook’s Year in Review is underpinned with a
celebratory tone.

I explore the overlap between this encouragement by platforms and the expectations of
the postfeminist environment for happy moments to be shared with the way that
different emotions influence what is shared according to the young women I
interviewed. Ahmed (2010) conceptualises happiness as a form of world-making, which
provides the horizon. It functions as a form of orientation, which directs people toward
certain objects with the expectation that these will provide them with ingredients for a
good life. Following this framework, I consider the range of moments and themes
pursued by participants. The most dominate is friendships and relationships,
celebrations and events, holidays and landscapes and finding the joy in everyday
moments. Reading these areas as ingredients for a good life offers insight into how this
group of young women conceive of happiness, which can be situated in wider
happiness scripts.

On one level, the dominance of happy, positive emotions represents continuity with pre-
digital photography mnemonic practices. Emotion influenced what events were
photographed and which photos were kept in photo albums, underpinned by cultural
expectations of what the family wished to remember in the future. On another level, the
public, connective sharing of photos as well as agency of the platform itself add
complexity to mnemonic practices. The On This Day algorithms attempt to determine
the emotion of posts in order to resurface ‘happy’ posts for users as their memories.
The encouragement by the platform to share about happy moments and for digital
traces to act as vehicles for happy remembering aligns with cultural expectations of
what emotions should be expressed and how life should be represented on platforms.
Interestingly, this curation and selectivity of representation, known as the ‘highlight reel’,
is also reflected on critically, invoking discourses of authenticity and the perfect.

Teasing out tensions in the expression of negative emotions reveals the way that feeling
rules are developed and negotiated on Instagram and Facebook. For some young
women, such sharing falls firmly outside of their boundaries of what belongs in these
spaces, interpreting it as attention-seeking or self-indulgent. Yet, concern around being
authentic and ‘real’ also emerges particularly in the perspectives and practices of two
young women who seek to push back against the expectations to be happy and
positive. I situate their stories and posts against the visible, public archive of female pain, sadness and feelings of the ‘Sad girls of Instagram’. This form of emotional sharing can be seen as a refusal of the affective norms but also, at times, suggests a reinforcement of postfeminist values in that difficulties and weakness are disclosed as part of presenting the self as confident and relatable.
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